

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
OFFICE OF CENTRAL REFERENCE

9 February 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Director of Security

SUBJECT : Revision of RPD 11/2

REFERENCES : a. A/Dir Sec memo dated 26 January 1960
transmitting DRAFT No. 5

b. A/Dir Sec memo dated 4 February 1960
transmitting addendum to DRAFT No. 5

1. Attached is a copy of your DRAFT No. 5 on which I have marked my recommended changes.

2. I do not think you need to get into definitions, be they of the intelligence community or of finished intelligence. In case of the former, the governing clause is well put in your para. 3,

"Any document disseminated or used within . . . or outside the intelligence community and bearing . . . control markings set forth in this Directive shall be carefully controlled by all recipients in strict conformity with the requirements and restrictions of this Directive."

Should a definition be found imperative then the distinction suggested by [REDACTED] in his memorandum to you dated 1 February is a sound one.

3. The term "finished intelligence" can safely be used as you have done in para. 4 b. Use: without definition. The important point to be made is after all made in the proviso; namely, the special marking is no longer needed if information is paraphrased, if the originator of it is concealed, as well as, the source, place acquired, and manner of acquisition.

4. I see no need for the additional "Warning Notice - Sensitive Source Information Contained Herein". Protection of sensitive source is an avowed purpose of "Intellcom Control" (see para. 4 a).

5. The effect of this proposed directive on our operations is difficult to anticipate since much will depend on how widely the various agencies use the warnings. For example, if the new "NOFORN" stamp were

- 2 -

not confined to reports stamped "NOFORN Continued Control" under the present DCID 11/2, then vastly more clearances would have to be sought from the originating offices before incorporating the substance of a report marked "NOFORN" into a finished report which could be given foreign dissemination.

6. In any event, it would be well to state for the record, whether the revision of the present DCID 11/2 is intended to liberalize or to tighten up present practices.

PAUL A. BOREL
Assistant Director
Central Reference

Attachment

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee
1 - Chief, LD
2 - OAD/CR

AD/CR:PABorel:fjc

CONFIDENTIAL